IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.GIRI

TUESDAY, THE 30TH OCTOBER 2007 / 8TH KARTHIKA 1929

WP(C).No. 28315 of 2007(U)

PETITIONER:

SUNITHA N,
KALLUPADATH, MANNANCHERRY,
W/O. SAJIMON, RESIDING AT KAROTTUVELI,
KALOOR P.O.,ALAPPUZHA.

BY ADV. SRI.T.P.DEYANANTHAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
TO GOVERNMENT, P AND ARD,
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT GOVERNMENT
SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE SECRETARY,KERALA PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION, PATTOM,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-4.

4. THE DISTRICT OFFICER, K.P.S.C.DISTRICT
OFFICE, ALAPPUZHA.

5. CHAIRMAN CUM DIRECTOR, KERALA STATE
LITERACY MISSION, THYCADU,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM PIN 695 014.

6. THE DISTRICT PROJECT CO-ORDINATOR
DISTRICT LITERACY MISSION
DISTRICT PANCHAYAT OFFICE,ALAPPUZHA.

7. THE CHAIRMAN,
BLOCK PANCHAYATH SAKSHARATHA SAMITHI,
ARYAD BLOCK PANCHAYATH,ALAPPUZHA.

BY ADV. SRI.ALEXANDER THOMAS,SC,KPSC

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 30/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

V.GIRI, J.

W.P.(C).No.28315 of 2007 U

Dated this the 30th day of October, 2007.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner applied for the post of L.D.Typist in various departments, in response to the notification issued by the Public Service Commission as per Ext.P1. One of the qualifications prescribed was Typewriting English (L) K.G.T.E. and computer word processing. The petitioner does not have the prescribed qualification. According to the petitioner, Ext.P2(d) will show that she had undergone a six months' course in DTP conducted by the Aryand Block Panchayat Saksharatha Samithi (District Literacy Mission). Learned counsel for the petitioner refers to Ext.P11 communication of the Public Service Commission dated 30.7.2007, which has listed the governmental agencies whose courses are considered as equivalent qualification by the Public Service Commission. Para 6 therein is also referred to for contending that the Commission has reserved the power to include any particular agency other than the listed agencies competent to offer equivalent courses.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, therefore, submits that a direction may be issued to the Commission in terms of para 6 of Ext.P11.

3. I have noted Ext.P2(d) and P12 (produced along with I.A.No.14177/07). The course in question having six months' duration seems to have been in Desk Top Printing (D.T.P.). This is different from computer word processing. There is no other material on the basis of which the Public Service Commission may be asked to consider Ext.P2(d) for the purpose of para 6 of Ext.P11. It is also significant that the petitioner has not independently challenged Ext.P11 communication issued by the Public Service Commission.

For all these reasons, I find no merit in this writ petition and the same is, therefore, dismissed.

Sd/-
(V.GIRI)
JUDGE

sk/

//true copy//

P.S. To Judge